

OFFICE OF CHIEF COUNSEL

DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE WASHINGTON, D.C. 20224

CC:PA:APJP:B02

JBernstein

ACTION ON DECISION

SUBJECT:

Robert L. Beck v. Commissioner T.C. Memo. 2001-198 (filed July 30, 2001) Dkt. Nos. 14577-98 and 14578-98 Decision Date: September 6, 2001

Issue:

Whether the Tax Court has jurisdiction to review the Service's determination that a spouse is not entitled to equitable relief under I.R.C. § 66(c).

Discussion:

Taxpayer wife claimed in her separate petition that she was an innocent spouse. The Tax Court construed the taxpayer's contention as a prayer for relief under section 66(c), which may provide relief from income tax liability with respect to unreported community income. The Service argued that the taxpayer failed to meet the requirements for relief under section 66(c) and that the denial of relief under section 66(c) was not subject to judicial review. The Tax Court disagreed as to its authority to review the Service's denial of equitable relief under section 66(c) with that provided for in section 6015(f). Citing its previous reasoning in two section 6015(f) cases, <u>Butler v. Commissioner</u>, 114 T.C. 276 (2000) (deficiency proceeding) and <u>Fernandez v. Commissioner</u>, 114 T.C. 324 (2000) (stand alone proceeding), the Tax Court held that it had the authority to review respondent's denial of equitable relief under section 66(c) in a deficiency proceeding. The Tax Court ultimately decided, however, that the Service did not abuse its discretion in denying such relief because the taxpayer failed to establish that she met the necessary requirements for relief under section 66(c).

Following the Tax Court decision in <u>Fernandez</u>, the Service issued <u>Fernandez v.</u> <u>Commissioner</u>, AOD CC-2000-06 (May 11, 2000), in which it acquiesced to theTax Court's jurisdiction to review the Service's determination that a taxpayer was not entitled

> THIS DOCUMENT IS NOT TO BE RELIED UPON OR OTHERWISE CITED AS PRECEDENT BY TAXPAYERS

to equitable relief under section 6015(f). We believe the Tax Court's similar treatment of section 66(c) is correct. The Service will no longer contest the Tax Court's jurisdiction to review a request for relief under section 66(c).

Recommendation:

Acquiescence.

Reviewers:

Jamie G. Bernstein Attorney, Branch 2 Administrative Provisions and Judicial Practice

Approved:

RICHARD W. SKILLMAN Acting Chief Counsel

By:

DEBORAH A. BUTLER Associate Chief Counsel (Procedure & Administration)